1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney			
2 3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division			
4	SHAILIKA S. KOTIYA (CABN 308758) Assistant United States Attorney			
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055			
6	San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7443 FAX: (415) 436-7027 Shailika.shah@usdoj.gov Attorneys for United States of America			
7				
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 17-00230 WHA	
14	Plaintiff,)		
15	v.)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL	
16	REGINALD LEE BOOKER, JR., a/k/a REGINALD LEE BROWN,)	ACT FROM JUNE 20, 2017, TO JULY 11, 2017	
17	Defendant.)		
18	Defendant.)		
19		,		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER			

CASE NO. CR 17-00230 WHA

1	On June 20, 2017, undersigned counsel and the defendant appeared before the Court for a		
2	scheduled status conference. At the parties' request, the Court scheduled a potential change of plea for		
3	July 11, 2017 at 2:00 PM. The parties agree and jointly request that the time between June 20, 2017,		
4	and July 11, 2017, should be excluded in order to provide reasonable time necessary for the effective		
5	preparation of counsel, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of		
6	justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in		
7	a speedy trial.		
8	SO STIPULATED:		
9		BRIAN J. STRETCH	
10		United States Attorney	
11	DATED: June 21, 2017	/s/	
12		SHAILIKA S. KOTIYA Assistant United States Attorney	
13			
14	DATED: June 21, 2017	/s/	
15		GALIA AMRAM Attorney for the Defendant	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

[PROPOSED]-ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from June 20, 2017, through and including July 11, 2017, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 22, 2017.

HON. WILLIAM H. ALSUP United States District Judge